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Background. The package insert for nevirapine (NVP) cautions against use in HIV-infected women (including pregnant women) with CD4 counts >250 cells/ul. However, recent studies showed that the CD4 count of pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) was not predictive of NVP toxicity. Objectives. To determine whether ART-naïve pregnant women initiating NVP-based ART at higher CD4 counts experience greater toxicity compared with pregnant women at lower CD4 counts.

Methods. We reviewed studies comparing serious adverse NVP-related events among ART-naïve pregnant women who commenced therapy at higher vs. lower CD4 counts. Relevant studies were extracted from PubMed, SCOPUS and EMBASE, major journals and conference proceedings prior to December 2011. Authors were contacted for additional data. Data were independently extracted and entered into Review Manager.

Results. Fourteen studies (2,663 participants) were included for analysis. The odds ratio (OR) for overall NVP toxicity among pregnant women with CD4 >250 cells/ul was 0.61 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.43 - 0.85). When analysis was restricted to prospective studies only (7 studies, 1338 participants), the results were consistent for overall NVP toxicity (OR 0.43, 95% CI 0.25 - 0.73) and severe hepatotoxicity (OR 0.45, 95% CI 0.22 - 0.99), but not for severe cutaneous reaction (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.26 - 1.0).

Conclusion. Initiating NVP-based ART during pregnancy at CD4 >250 cells/ul increases toxicity risk and should be avoided, necessitating urgent revision of current guidelines supporting this practice.


In January 2005, the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a public health advisory recommending against initiating nevirapine (NVP) in HIV-infected women (including pregnant women) with CD4 counts >250 cells/ul. The NVP package insert was revised accordingly to warn about risks, with further revision in November 2011 to comply with FDA recommendations on product labelling safety.

The initial warning followed a meta-analysis of hepatotoxicity in over 600 women, stratified by CD4 count (risk ratio 9.8 with a CD4 count >250 cells/ul). However, results from several subsequent studies with larger datasets demonstrated no association between CD4 count and NVP toxicity. Current World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommend NVP as part of first-line antiretroviral therapy (ART) for pregnant women with CD4 <350 cells/ul, based on their own analysis of 836 pregnant women, which showed no increased hepatotoxicity risk at CD4 >250 cells/ul (relative risk 1.04; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.22 - 4.83).

The 2010 South African Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission (PMTCT) guidelines recommend lifelong NVP-based ART for HIV-infected pregnant women with WHO clinical stage 3 or 4 disease, regardless of CD4 count. In contrast, the 2011 perinatal guidelines from the US Department of Health and Human Services recommend a protease inhibitor as part of the ART regimen for pregnant women with a CD4 count >250 cells/ul, while cautioning against starting NVP above this count.

The British HIV Association 2012 draft guidelines recommend either efavirenz (EFV) or NVP (with a CD4 count <250 cells/ul) or a boosted protease inhibitor as the third drug for pregnant women requiring ART for their own health. The recommendation of EFV is a departure from previous guidelines discouraging its use in pregnancy. Furthermore, women who conceived on EFV-based ART need not switch to another drug in the first trimester, following analysis of recent data showing no increased risk of birth defects after first-trimester EFV exposure.

Reports of NVP-related maternal deaths have surfaced in South Africa, generating renewed concerns about the drug’s safety, notably among ART-naïve pregnant women. The Eastern Cape Province recently amended its PMTCT guidelines following an analysis of 45 HIV-related maternal deaths, 6 due to liver failure and Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (SJS). The use of NVP in pregnancy since then has been limited to a single dose at delivery (M Sloveni, personal communication).

To address the uncertainty about the safety of initiating NVP-based ART in pregnancy, we aimed to determine whether ART-naïve pregnant women initiating NVP at higher CD4 counts experience greater toxicity.

Methods

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed. All studies except case reports were evaluated for inclusion without consideration of their results. ART-naïve pregnant women initiating NVP-based ART during the index pregnancy for maternal health or infant prophylaxis were included. For studies that included both ART-naïve and-experienced pregnant women, only the data of ART-naïve participants were extracted ART-experienced pregnant women were excluded, including those naïve to NVP. Combination ART studies which included NVP use for 7 days or longer were included only if liver transaminases at baseline were <1.25 times the upper limit of normal (ULN). For inclusion, women had to be followed-up until delivery.
The primary outcome measure was overall NVP toxicity (severe hepatotoxicity and severe cutaneous reaction). National Institutes of Health Division of AIDS (NIH-DAIDS) guidelines were followed for grading severity. Severe hepatotoxicity was defined as grade 3 or 4 elevation of liver transaminases (>5 and >10 times ULN, respectively); rash-associated hepatotoxicity, or clinical hepatitis accompanied by elevated liver transaminases. Severe cutaneous reaction was defined as diffuse maculopapular rash with vesicles, limited number of bullar or superficial ulceration of mucous membranes limited to one site (grade 3); or the presence of generalised bullous lesions, SJS, or toxic epidermal necrolysis (grade 4). Cases of concurrent rash and hepatotoxicity were assigned to ‘severe hepatotoxicity’.

We performed electronic searches of PubMed, SCOPUS and EMBASE from inception to 25 November, 5 December and 31 December 2011, respectively. Search terms included ‘nevirapine’ and ‘pregnancy’ or ‘pregnant’ and ‘toxicity’ or ‘safety’ or adverse effects or side-effects. An extensive hand-search of major infectious diseases journals published prior to December 2011 was performed. Article reference lists and AIDS conference proceedings were also hand-searched. Abstracts were reviewed and potentially relevant full-text articles were retrieved based on consensus and discussion. All articles from the WHO meta-analysis which included pregnant women were also retrieved. Data were extracted using a previously prepared data extraction form. For duplicate publications, the more informative study was used. Authors from 15 articles were contacted for additional data or clarity regarding datasets; 9 responded.

Review Manager 5.1 (RevMan 2011) was used for statistical analyses. The Mantel-Haenszel method was used for comparisons of dichotomous data. Results were presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. Heterogeneity was quantified using the I² statistic (the proportion of variation due to study heterogeneity). In the absence of substantial heterogeneity (I²<50%) results were pooled using a fixed-effects model. Funnel plots were visually examined to explore possible publication bias.

Results
Data retrieval, exclusion and inclusion are summarised in Fig. 1. We excluded some of the largest studies on PMTCT (including several thousand women from around the globe) primarily because NVP use was restricted to women with CD4 counts <250 cells/ul. In many of these studies, toxicity data were not routinely collected (C Townsend, personal communication). Routine collection of toxicity data was also absent in a number of African PMTCT studies with fairly large cohorts.

Among reasons for the exclusion of certain antenatal studies (Table 1), the most common reason was the absence of data dichotomised by CD4 count. Two studies with CD4 cut-offs of 500
and 200 cells/ul, respectively. However, 2225 were also excluded as CD4 count relative to NVP toxicity was not available.

At data entry, we excluded 1 of 5 serious adverse events (SAEs) reported by Hitti et al.27 as the subject (CD4 count 259 cells/ul) reportedly developed clinical hepatitis, but alanine transaminase (ALT) was 41 U/l at diagnosis. Three further participants were excluded from daily for 14 days in all women. The CD4 cut-off was 250 cells/ul for toxicity analyses in all studies, except for one (CD4 cut-off of 200 cells/ul).35 Mean time to toxicity ranged from 27 to 74 days. The overall frequency of NVP toxicity was 8.3%, driven mostly by hepatotoxicity. Additional unpublished data were obtained from Coffie et al.,7 Lyons et al.5 and Marazzi et al.7 There was a significant reduction in the data of Natarajan et al.28

CD4 counts were unknown in 2, and the third (CD4 count 332 cells/ul) developed elevated liver transaminases > 3 times the ULN. From Peters et al, we only extracted the data for the women who initiated NVP-based ART. 29 Fourteen studies were reviewed, including a total of 2 663 participants (Table 2).8,27,28 One study was funded by the NVP manufacturer Boehringer Ingelheim.27 The studies, mostly undertaken between 2001 and 2006, were predominantly observational with participant numbers ranging from 17 to 703. Average female participant age was 28 years, and ART was initiated at an average of 27 weeks’ gestation. The mean baseline CD4 count varied (113 - 545 cells/ul). Participants with hepatitis B or C infection were excluded from 2 studies, whereas 7 studies reported the prevalence of hepatitis co-infection.28,30,34,36,38 NVP was initially commenced at 200 mg.

Table 2. Description of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>TTI (a)</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Fat (a)</th>
<th>Death (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agdey</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1999-2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffie</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentile</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1997-2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirt</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iamilo</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1994-2005</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruse</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1983-2006</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyons</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1994-2004</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natarajan</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1997-2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phulpakroy</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1997-2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Schepdael</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2001-2009</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4560</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The studies, mostly undertaken between 2001 and 2006, were predominantly observational with participant numbers ranging from 17 to 703. Average female participant age was 28 years, and ART was initiated at an average of 27 weeks’ gestation. The mean baseline CD4 count varied (113 - 545 cells/ul). Participants with hepatitis B or C infection were excluded from 2 studies, whereas 7 studies reported the prevalence of hepatitis co-infection.28,30,34,36,38 NVP was initially commenced at 200 mg.
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overall NVP toxicity among women with CD4 >250 cells/ul (OR 0.41; 95% CI 0.25 - 0.69; I² 0%). One study 28 was excluded from analysis as it used a CD4 cut-off of 200 cells/ul, the result following exclusion was unchanged (OR 0.62; 95% CI 0.44 - 0.87; I² 12%). Severe hepatotoxicity was not significantly reduced with NVP use at CD4 >250 cells/ul (OR 0.75; 95% CI 0.48 - 1.19; I² 0%). Risk for severe cutaneous reaction was analysed from 13 studies (2,372 participants). There was a statistically significant reduction in severe skin rash among pregnant women with CD4 >250 cells/ul (OR 0.57; 95% CI 0.35 - 0.94; I² 0%). All studies except Aaroe et al. included mortality data. 24 The cumulative mortality rate was 0.1% (14 of 2,584 women), with at least 4 maternal deaths directly attributable to NVP use.25,26,27 We could not perform an NVP-related mortality analysis stratified by CD4 count due to data limitations.

For the 7 prospective studies reviewed, involving 1,118 participants, the OR for overall NVP toxicity among women with CD4 >250 cells/ul was 0.43 (95% CI 0.25 - 0.73; I² 0%), and 0.45 for severe hepatotoxicity (95% CI 0.22 - 0.90; I² 0%). NVP use at CD4 <250 cells/ul did not significantly reduce the odds of severe cutaneous reaction (OR 0.53; 95% CI 0.26 - 1.0; I² 0%). The Funnel plot (Fig. 2) showed no gross asymmetry to suggest overt publication bias.

**Discussion**

Combining NVP in ART-naive pregnant women with CD4 counts >250 cells/ul significantly increased the odds of toxicity. Our results are consistent with the findings of a previous meta-analysis that informed the current FDA safety alert on NVP use.5 An important finding of our review was that NVP-related SARs seem to occur fairly soon after ART initiation (within 10 weeks). Relative risk of toxicity may be lower than previously estimated. For every 200 women who commenced NVP-based ART at CD4 >250 cells/ul, 7 additional women experienced severe side-effects. Strengths of our review include the use of the dataset (the largest to date), robust methods, and the most included studies were published after the FDA advisory in 2008. Our results vary with those of several recently published studies on the risk of NVP-related toxicity in pregnancy, for which there may be numerous reasons. Firstly, in many studies the toxicity data of ART-naive and -experienced pregnant women were combined.4,19,20 The appropriateness of combining such data deserves scrutiny. Moctezuma et al. demonstrated that the risk of NVP discontinuation due to toxicity was significantly lower in ART-experienced women compared with ART-naive women at CD4 counts >250 cells/ul.20 In another study, stable virologically suppressed women who switched to NVP-based ART did not experience higher rates of hepatotoxicity.26 Complete plasma viral load suppression appears to be protective of NVP hepatotoxicity. These findings suggest that combining data of ART-naive and -experienced women may underestimate the true risk of NVP toxicity. For example, in the analysis by Ouyang et al., only 91 of 1,229 pregnant women were ART-naive and initiated NVP-based ART, suggesting that the majority of women were already taking ART at conception.6 In their study, the rate of severe hepatotoxicity was 0.5%. A separate analysis comparing ART-naive and -experienced women was not performed. Also, the median time from ART initiation to toxicity was 163 days, considerably longer than the time reported by studies in our review.

Secondly, in some of the recently published studies the data on hepatotoxicity - stratified by CD4 count - were performed as a single analysis for women on NVP and those on nelfinavir (NVP).14,29,30 Nelfinavir use is rarely associated with hepatotoxicity.26 Combining hepatotoxicity data for NVP and NVP may potentially dilute the association between NVP and hepatotoxicity in higher CD4 counts. As an example, in the study by Peters et al., only 1 of 208 women with CD4 >250 cells/ul initiated NVP during the second enrollment period. The remaining 207 women started NVP. An analysis of adverse events for the second period adjusted for CD4 count may not have been appropriate.

Thirdly, the endpoints in our systematic review were limited to severe or life-threatening adverse events. Several papers included comparisons of all NIH-DARMS grades of toxicity.1,2,4,29,30,31,32 In the WHO meta-analysis, the authors did not evaluate cutaneous reactions in pregnancy, and NVP hepatotoxicity was analyzed for all grades of severity.5 They correctly emphasised the need for cautious interpretation of their results based only on grades 4 and 5 toxicities, given limited cohort numbers and open-labelled designs of included studies. However, 5 important studies considered in their meta-analysis merit discussion. In the study by Lamine et al.,27 the overall rates of hepatotoxicity among women with CD4 counts lower and higher than 250 cells/ul were similar (6% and 9%, respectively), but severe hepatotoxicity occurred in 0% and 6% (p=0.02) in the 2 groups, respectively. In the study by Kondis et al. a severe hepatotoxicity occurred exclusively in women with CD4 >250 cells/ul. The study by Marazzi et al. showed no differences in grade 3 and 4 hepatotoxicity rates by CD4 count (250 cells/ul), but their unpublished results for severe cutaneous reaction among women with CD4 counts less or greater than 250 cells/ul, were 2% and 4%, respectively.5 While we certainly share the WHO authors’ concerns around the interpretation of results based on limited numbers, their meta-analysis did not provide reassurance on treatment-limiting toxicities above this CD4 threshold. It remains unclear why women with better immunological reserves are more vulnerable to the hazards of NVP. The mechanism is believed to be an immune-mediated hypersensitivity reaction. Some investigators have found a higher frequency of allele Ila-DRIE1/01 in patients who developed a cutaneous reaction to NVP or EFV.4,13 Others demonstrated increased expression of HLA-Cw8 among those who developed NVP hypersensitivity.4,13 In a case-control study performed in South Africa, investigators found that the MDR1 position 3435 Y allele was associated with a decreased risk of NVP-related hepatotoxicity.5 A recent systematic review showed that pregnancy itself may be an additional risk factor for NVP hepatotoxicity.30 Predisposition to NVP toxicity may be multifactorial and, at present, there is no simple reliable way to predict such outcomes among women initiating NVP-based ART.
Study limitations

A number of studies did not report the use of drugs with overlapping toxicity profiles (e.g. citalopram, meropenem and ceftriaxone). The reporting of hepatitis B and C and alcohol and illicit drug use varied considerably, and few studies reported the prevalence of pre-eclampsia and HELLP syndrome in pregnancy. The latter is known to mimic drug toxicity and lead to NVP withdrawal.

Finally, only one study was a randomized trial and it was prematurely terminated. All others were observational, and therefore prone to several forms of bias. Confounding variables which cannot be measured are usually addressed through random allocation of participants to an intervention arm. A randomized study comparing NVP with EFV during pregnancy seems to be the way forward, but is unlikely to receive ethical approval until the long-term toxicity concerns outstanding ESAs use in pregnancy are resolved.

Conclusion

We observed an absence of new data to support the safe initiation of NVP among ART-naive pregnant women with a CD4 count ≥500 cells/μl. Rather, NVP initiation in these women significantly increased the frequency of ESAs. We strongly recommend urgent revision of guidelines supporting this practice. Pharmacovigilance programmes on ART use in pregnancy should be strengthened urgently.
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