National Essential Medicine List Primary Healthcare Medication Review Process Component: Palliative care

1. Executive Summary

Date:03 September 2017
Medicine (INN):Morphine syrup

Medicine (ATC): N02AA01

Indication (ICD10 code):R06.0 + (Z51.5)

Patient population: Patients receiving palliative care

Prevalence of condition: Breathlessness is a source of distress for 50-70% ofpatients requiring

palliative care.

Level of Care: Primary Health Care

Prescriber Level: Doctor/ palliative care practitioner

Current standard of Care: n/a

Efficacy estimates: (preferably NNT):n/a

Motivator/reviewer name(s): Ms Pearl Lentsoane, with support from Secretariat Ms Trudy

Leong.

PTC affiliation: n/a

2. Name of author(s)/motivator(s)

Ms Pearl Lentsoane, with support from the Secretariat Ms Trudy Leong.

3. Author affiliation and conflict of interest details

Ms Pearl Lentsoane: Mankweng hospital, AMS subcommittee Limpopo province; Member of the Primary Health Care Technical Sub-Committee of NEMLC; No conflicts of interest.

Ms Trudy Leong: National Department of Health, Essential Drugs Programme; Secretariat to the Primary Health Care Technical Sub-Committee of NEMLC; No conflicts of interest.

4. Introduction/ Background

Breathlessness is a source of distress for 50-70% of patients requiring palliative care. A complex physiological and psychological sensation, its causes are often multifactorial, including the underlying disease, cachexia, and deconditioning. As disease progresses dyspnoea occurs more frequently and at rest (Abernethyet al, 2003).

5. Search 1: PICO #1

Purpose/Objective i.e. PICO question 1

- -P (patient/population): all palliative care patients experiencing dyspnoea
- -I (intervention):oral morphine
- -C (comparator): placebo
- -O (outcome): Efficacy (relief of breathlessness).

(P) Amongst palliative care patients experiencing dyspnea or breathlessness, is(I) oral morphine compared to **(C)** placebo, **(O)** safe and effective to relieve dyspnea/breathlessness.

6. Methods:

a. Data sources: Pubmed, Cochrane library

b. Search strategy

i. Search strategy 1:

(((MeSH Terms: dyspnea; morphine))filter: systematic

The search strategy retrieved 20 articles, of which 5 were relevant to the PICO clinical question. Four studies were excluded for reasons outlined in the table below. The remaining studies were not relevant to the PICO.

Excluded studies

	Author, date	Type of study	Reason for exclusion
1	Jennings et al, 2013	Cochrane review	Review was withdrawn, (out of date)
2	Simon et al 2010	Cochrane review	Investigated the use of benzodiazepines for dyspnoea
3	Büche DJ,2006	Review article	Article only available in German
4	Bausewein C, 2014	Review article	The review included inhaled nebulised and intranasal opioids

ii. Search strategy 2:

MeSH Terms: palliative care; dyspnea; child

Search strategy 2 retrieved 71 articles of which 3 were related to the PICO clinical question. The remaining articles where not relevant. Of the 3 relevant articles only 1 has dosing recommendations and the other 2 articles only make reference to the use of opioids for dyspnea in the pediatric population.

iii. Search strategy 3:

MeSH Terms: *dyspnea; palliative care; child; morphine* Search strategy3 retrieved 10 articles which were not related to the PICO clinical question.

iv. Search strategy 4:

MeSH Terms: dyspnea; morphine; child

Search strategy4 retrieved 15 articles which were not related to the PICO clinical question.

c. Evidence synthesis:

i) Search strategy 1 (Adults)

Author, date	Type of study	n	Population	Intervention	Comparators	Primary outcome	Effect sizes	Comments	
Barnes et al 2016,	Systematic review	n=117 for change s from baselin e (7 RCTs); n=159 for post- treatm ent scores (11 RCTs)	Adults with advanced disease and terminal illness	Oral morphine	Placebo	Improvement in breath-lessness (measured by VAS or Borg scale), changes from baseline as well as post-treatmentasse ssed. (Post-treatment breathlessness assessed at variable time points:1 hour to 6 weeks).	The meta-analysis demonstrates a small treatment effect for breathlessness (change from baseline), seven studies, n=107; standardised mean difference–0.09, 95%CI –0.36 to 0.19; p = 0.54; I²-74%. Post-treatment score, 11 studies, n=159, standardised mean difference–0.28, 95% CI –0.50 to –0.05; p = 0.02; I²=8.4%	Evidence was considered very low quality (GRADE) for changes from baseline; and low quality for post-treatment scores. Constipation, nausea and vomiting, and drowsiness occurred significantly more frequently with opioids than placebo.	
Jansen et al , 2017	Systematic literature review	Includes studies already stated above (2)							
Lanken et al,2008	American Thoracic Society Clinical Policy Statement: Palliative Care for Patients with Respiratory Diseases and Critical Illnesses	Adults :	owing doses wher 5–10 mg, 4 hourly :0.2–0.5 mg/kg, 3	,	d for the use of m	norphine in adults	and children		

ii) Search strategy 2 (Paediatrics)

Author, date	Type of	n	Population	Intervention	Comparators	Primary	Effect sizes	Comments
	study					outcome		
Johnston et al , 2005	Narrative Review.		Pediatric palliative care patients	Oral morphine		Improvement in symptoms of dyspnoea.	was described in	Guidelines following dose of oral morphine is recommended in children: Morphine 0.2-0.5 mg/kg
							the review	every2-4 hourly (no maximum dose recommended).

There is a paucity of RCT evidence for opioids in the management of dyspnoea of children in palliative care. However, practice guidelines recommend opioids for dyspnoea.

- 7. Evidence quality: The RCTs included in the Cochrane Review were considered to be of low to very low quality. The trials were of small sizes and some studies did not give enough information to assess quality.
- **8. Alternative agents:** Authors of a recent 2016 Cochrane review (8) concluded that "There is no evidence for or against benzodiazepines for the relief of breathlessness in people with advanced cancer and COPD. Benzodiazepines caused more drowsiness as an adverse effect compared to placebo, but less compared to morphine. Benzodiazepines may be considered as a second- or third-line treatment, when opioids and non-pharmacological measures have failed to control breathlessness. There is a need for well-conducted and adequately powered studies".

EVIDENCE TO DECISION FRAMEWORK

	JUDGEMENT	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE & ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
ry OF NCE	What is the overall confidence in the evidence of effectiveness?	
QUALITY OF EVIDENCE	Confident Not Uncertain confident	
HARMS	Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects?	
BENEFITS & HARMS	Benefits Harms Benefits = outweigh outweigh harms or harms benefits Uncertain X	
THERAPEUTIC INTERCHANGE	Therapeutic alternatives available: Yes No X	Rationale for therapeutic alternatives included:
	List the members of the group.	References:
	List specific exclusion from the group:	Rationale for exclusion from the group:
ТНЕ		References:

VALUES & PREFERENCES / ACCEPTABILITY	Is there important uncertainty or variability about how much people value the options? Minor Major Uncertain X Is the option acceptable to key stakeholders? Yes No Uncertain X X						
	How large are the resource requirements?		dicines/ mont				
	More Less Uncertain		MedicineCost (ZAR)Morphine syrup (MistR259.38				
I n s	intensive intensive	Morph) 10	Morph) 10 mg/ 5 mL * Refer to updated Morphine costing analysis done for the				
RESOURCE USE			lated Morphine Level review,19				
SOL		POF					
2		costing_Adults_195	NDoH_EDP_Morphine costing_Adults_19Se _I				
		Additional	resources:				
	Would there be animpact on health inequity	•					
≧	Yes No Uncertain						
EQUITY							
	X L						
>	Is the implementation of this						
Ę	recommendation feasible? Yes No Uncertain						
FEASIBILITY	X						
世							
	We	We suggest	We suggest	We	We		
	recomm · · ·		using either	suggest	recommend		
	against option a		the option or the	using the option	the option		
Тур	e of recommendation for th		alternative	30.011			
	alternat	ive alternative					
					х		

Recommendation:

Based on the evidence review above, the Primary Health Care Committee recommends the addition of oral morphine for the management of palliative dyspnea in paediatric and adult patients receiving palliative care.

Rationale: Systematic review and meta-analysis of limited low quality RCTs suggests that oral opioids may be of benefit to palliate breathlessness in adults. There is a paucity of RCT data for the management of palliative dyspnoea in children; however morphine, oral is standard of care as recommmended in a number of guidelines.

Level of Evidence:II Systematic review of low to very low RCTs, III Guidelines

Review indicat	or:			
Evidence	Evidence of	Price		
of efficacy	harm	reduction		
X	Х			
				
\/FN				
VEN status:				
Vital Es	sential Neces	<u>s</u> ary		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Monitoring an	d evaluation co	nsiderations		
Research prior	ities			

Johnston et al, 2005: "There are limited data surrounding the management of dyspnea in children at the end-of-life, suggesting tha this symptom is one that would benefit from further study".

References

- 1. Abernethy AP, Currow DC, Frith P, Fazekas BS, McHugh A, Bui C. Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled crossover trial of sustained release morphinefor the management of refractory dyspnoea. BMJ. 2003 Sep 6;327(7414):523-8.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12958109
- 2. Barnes H, McDonald J, Smallwood N, Manser R. Opioids for the palliation of refractory breathlessness in adults with advanced disease and terminal illness. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016 Mar 31;3:CD011008.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27030166
- 3. Jansen K, Haugen DF, Pont L, Ruths S. 2017. Safety and effectiveness of palliativedrug treatment in the last days of life - a systematic literature review: J Pain Symptom Manage. 2017 Aug 9. pii: S0885-3924(17)30381-0.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28803078
- 4. Lanken PN, Terry PB, Delisser HM, Fahy BF, Hansen-Flaschen J, Heffner JE, LevyM, Mularski RA, Osborne ML, Prendergast TJ, Rocker G, Sibbald WJ, Wilfond B, Yankaskas JR; ATS End-of-Life Care Task Force. An official American ThoracicSociety clinical policy statement: palliative care for patients with respiratory diseases and critical illnesses. Am J RespirCrit Care Med. 2008 Apr15;177(8):912-27. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18390964
- 5. Johnston DL, Hentz TA, Friedman DL. Pediatric palliative care. J PediatrPharmacolTher. 2005 Oct;10(4):200-14. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23118638

- 6. Robinson WM. Palliation of dyspnea in pediatrics. ChronRespir Dis.2012;9(4):251-6.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22872362
- 7. NCCN Practice Guidelines in Oncology, Palliative Care. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/palliative.pdf
- 8. The Association of Paediatric Palliative Medicine Master Formulary, 4th edition. http://www.appm.org.uk/resources/APPM+Master+Formulary+2017+-+4th+edition.pdf